

OPTIMAL PERIODIC ORBITS FOR NON RECURRENT RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We prove that each non-parabolic periodic orbit contained in the ω -limit set of a measure-recurrent optimal orbit for a continuous function defined on the Julia set of a non-recurrent rational function is also optimal. As a by-product, we prove in the next section appropriate versions of shadowing and closing lemmas for non-recurrent rational functions.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND INTRODUCTION

Let X be a compact metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map, and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. For every $x \in X$ and $n \geq 1$ put

$$S_n \phi(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi(T^j(x))$$

and

$$\langle \phi \rangle (x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \phi(x)$$

if the limit exists. If $\langle \phi \rangle (y)$ exists for some $y \in X$ and $\langle \phi \rangle (y) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n \phi(x)$ for each $x \in X$, then the (forward) orbit of y is called an optimal orbit for T and ϕ . The question about existence of optimal orbits though fundamental has an easy positive answer (see for example [5]). In fact, a slightly stronger result is proven in [5]. In order to describe it fix $x \in T$. If the weak limit

$$\mu_x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \delta_{T^j(x)}$$

exists, where δ_y is the Dirac measure concentrated at y , then x is said to generate the invariant measure μ_x and μ_x is said to be generated by x . A point $x \in X$ is said to be measure-recurrent if x generates a T -invariant measure μ_x and x belongs to the topological support of the measure μ_x . The following result has been proved in [5].

Theorem 1.1. *If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map of a compact metric space X and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then there always exists a measure-recurrent optimal orbit for T and ϕ .*

Let $f : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ be a rational mapping of the Riemann sphere $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ of degree ≥ 2 . The mapping f is non-recurrent if $c \notin \omega(c)$ for every critical point $c \in J(f)$, where $J(f)$ is the Julia set of

Supported in part by the NSF Grant DMS 0100078.

f and $\omega(c)$ is the ω -limit set of c under f . This class contains in particular all expanding, subexpanding and parabolic functions. In [5] the problem of the structure of optimal orbits was dealt with. The authors proved that if T is either an Axiom A diffeomorphism or an expanding map of a smooth compact manifold, then each periodic orbit contained in the ω -limit set of a measure-recurrent optimal orbit for a Lipschitz continuous function ϕ is also optimal for ϕ . We prove a corresponding result (see 3.3) in the context of a non-recurrent rational mapping $f : J(f) \rightarrow J(f)$ and all continuous functions $\phi : J(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As a by-product, we prove in the next section appropriate versions of shadowing and closing lemmas for non-recurrent rational mappings. We end this section by recalling the following two notions. Firstly, a point $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ is called parabolic (rationally indifferent) if it is periodic and there exists $q \geq 1$, a multiple of a period of ω , such that $(f^q)'(\omega) = 1$. The set $\Omega = \Omega(f)$ of all parabolic points of a rational mapping of the Riemann sphere is finite and contained in the Julia set $J(f)$. Secondly, if A, B are two subsets of \mathcal{C} , we put

$$\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf\{|b - a| : a \in A, b \in B\} \text{ and } \text{Dist}(A, B) = \sup\{|b - a| : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

2. SHADOWING AND CLOSING LEMMAS

From now on throughout the entire paper $f : \bar{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is assumed to be a non-recurrent rational mapping. We recall that given $\alpha > 0$ a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is called an α -pseudo-orbit if $|x_{i+1} - f(x_i)| \leq \alpha$ for all $i \geq 0$. We call a pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ θ -well behaving provided that $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ if $x_{n+1} \in B(\Omega, \theta)$ and $x_k \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$ for infinitely many k 's. It follows from Mane's theorem (see [1], comp. Lemma 2.13 in [2]) that for every $\theta > 0$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\tilde{\epsilon} \in (0, \epsilon)$ such that if $x \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$, then for every $n \geq 0$ and the diameters of all connected components of $f^{-n}(B(x, \tilde{\epsilon}))$ do not exceed ϵ . We shall prove the following version of the Anosov-Bowen shadowing lemma appropriate in the context of non-recurrent rational functions.

Lemma 2.1. *For every $\theta > 0$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\theta, \epsilon) > 0$ such that if $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a θ -well behaving $\delta(\theta, \epsilon)$ -pseudo-orbit, then $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is ϵ -shadowable.*

Proof. Put $\eta = (\epsilon/2)/2$. Therefore, if $f^k(z) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$, then

$$\text{diam}(C_k(z, \bar{B}(f^k(z), 2\eta))) \leq \epsilon/2. \quad (2.1)$$

In view of Lemma 5.3 from [3] there exists $q \geq 1$ such that if $k \geq q$ and $f^k(z) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$, then

$$\text{diam}(C_k(z, \bar{B}(f^k(z), 2\eta))) \leq \eta/2. \quad (2.2)$$

Take $\delta > 0$ so small that

$$(\dots((\delta\|f'\| + \delta)\|f'\| + \delta)\|f'\| + \dots + \delta)\|f'\| \leq \eta, \quad (2.3)$$

where in this inequality $\|f'\|$ occurs $q - 1$ times. We now extend our pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to a pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=-q}^{\infty}$ such that $f^q(x_{-q}) = x_0$ and $x_{n-q} = f^n(x_{-q}) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$ for all

$0 \leq n \leq q - 1$. We call a piece $\{x_i\}_{i=m}^n$ of the pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=-q}^\infty$ of category I if $x_m, x_n \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$ and $n - m = q$ and of category II if $x_m, x_n \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=m+1}^{n-q} \subset B(\Omega, \delta)$. If now $\{x_i\}_{i=m}^n$ is a block of category I, then by (2.3), $|x_n - f^q(x_m)| \leq \eta$. Hence $f^q(x_m) \in \overline{B}(x_n, \eta) \subset B(f^q(x_m), 2\eta)$ and therefore D_m^n , the connected component of $f^{-q}(\overline{B}(x_n, \eta))$ containing x_m is contained in $C_q(x_m, \overline{B}(f^q(x_m), 2\eta))$. In view of (2.2)

$$D_m^n \subset C_q(x_m, \overline{B}(f^q(x_m), 2\eta)) \subset \overline{B}(x_m, \eta). \quad (2.4)$$

Since for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - m\}$, $f^i(D_m^n) \subset C_{q-i}(f^i(x_m), B(f^q(x_m), 2\eta))$, it follows from (2.3) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}(x_{m+i}, f^i(D_m^n)) &\leq |x_{m+i} - f^i(x_m)| + \text{diam}(C_{q-i}(f^i(x_m), B(f^q(x_m), 2\eta))) \\ &\leq \eta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If $\{x_i\}_{i=m}^n$ is a block of category II, then

$$|x_{m+i} - f^i(x_m)| \leq \eta \quad (2.6)$$

for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - m\}$. And indeed, if $i = 0$, then $|x_{m+i} - f^i(x_m)| = |x_m - x_m| = 0 < \eta$, if $i \geq 1$ and $i + m \leq n - q$, then $x_{i+m} = f^i(x_m)$ since our pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is θ -well behaving and $\{x_i\}_{i=m}^{n-q+1} \subset B(\Omega, \theta)$. If $n + m \geq n - q + 1$, then (2.6) follows from (2.3). Hence

$$f^{n-m}(x_m) \in \overline{B}(x_n, \eta) \subset \overline{B}(f^{n-m}(x_m), 2\eta)$$

and therefore D_m^n , the component of $f^{-(n-m)}(\overline{B}(x_n, \eta))$ containing x_m is contained in the set $C_{n-m}(x_m, \overline{B}(f^{n-m}(x_m), 2\eta))$. In view of (2.2)

$$D_m^n \subset C_{n-m}(x_m, \overline{B}(f^{n-m}(x_m), 2\eta)) \subset B(x_m, \eta). \quad (2.7)$$

Since for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - m\}$, $f^i(D_m^n) \subset C_{n-m-i}(f^i(x_m), B(f^{n-m}(x_m), 2\eta))$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}(x_{m+i}, f^i(D_m^n)) &\leq |x_{m+i} - f^i(x_m)| + \text{diam}(C_{n-m-i}(f^i(x_m), B(f^{n-m}(x_m), 2\eta))) \\ &\leq \eta + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Now, since our pseudo-orbit is θ -well behaving, there exists an increasing to infinity sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that $x_{n_k} \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$ for all $k \geq 1$. For each $k \geq 1$ one can decompose the pseudo-orbit $\{x_j\}_{j=-q}^{n_k}$ into blocks of category I and II:

$$\begin{aligned} &[x_{j_{s-1}^{(k)}}, x_{j_{s-1}^{(k)}+1}, \dots, x_{j_s^{(k)}}], [x_{j_{s-2}^{(k)}}, x_{j_{s-2}^{(k)}+1}, \dots, x_{j_{s-1}^{(k)}}], [x_{j_{s-3}^{(k)}}, x_{j_{s-3}^{(k)}+1}, \dots, x_{j_{s-2}^{(k)}}], \dots, \\ &[x_{j_2^{(k)}}, x_{j_2^{(k)}+1}, \dots, x_{j_3^{(k)}}] \end{aligned}$$

where $j_s^{(k)} = n_k$, and the last block $[x_{j_1^{(k)}}, x_{j_1^{(k)}+1}, \dots, x_{j_2^{(k)}}]$, where $j_1^{(k)} = -q$ and

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_2^{(k)} \leq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Fixing $k \geq 1$ define now in the backward direction the sequence $\{F_i\}_{i=s}^2$ as follows. $F_s = D_{j_{s-1}}^{j_s^{(k)}}$ and suppose that $F_i \subset D_{j_i}^{j_{i+1}^{(k)}}$ has been defined for some $3 \leq i \leq s$. It follows from the definition of the sets D_m^n and (2.4) that the intersection $D_{j_{i-1}}^{j_i^{(k)}} \cap f^{-\left(j_i^{(k)} - j_{i-1}^{(k)}\right)}(F_i)$ is not empty. Denote this intersection by F_{i-1} . Fix a point $z_k \in F_2$. It follows from (2.5) and (2.8) that

$$|x_{j_2^{(k)}+j} - f^j(z_k)| \leq \epsilon \quad (2.10)$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq n_k$. In view of (2.10), passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $j_2^{(k)}$ is the same for all $k \geq 1$, say $j_2^{(k)} = j_2$. Let y be an accumulation point of the sequence $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Fixing $n \geq 1$, it immediately follows from (2.10) and continuity of f that $|x_{j_2^{(k)}+j} - f^j(y)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$. Since n is an arbitrary number, we get

$$|x_{j_2^{(k)}+j} - f^j(y)| \leq \epsilon \quad (2.11)$$

for all $j \geq 0$. Since $j_2 \leq 0$, in view of (2.11) the point $z = f^{-j_2}(y)$ shadows the pseudo-orbit $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. The proof is finished. ■

Since, because of presence of critical points, the shadowing point constructed in the previous lemma is usually not unique, the standard way of deducing Anosov's closing lemma from Anosov - Bowen shadowing lemma fails. We therefore provide below its direct proof.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\theta > 0$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $m = m(\theta, \epsilon)$ such that if $q \geq m(\theta, \epsilon)$, $f^q(x) \in J(f) \setminus B(\Omega, \theta)$ and $|f^q(x) - x| \leq \tilde{\epsilon}$, then there exists a point $y \in J(f)$ such that $f^q(y) = y$ and $|f^i(x) - f^i(y)| \leq \epsilon$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, q$.*

Proof. Fix $m(\theta, \epsilon)$ so large that $B_\epsilon m^{-\xi \frac{p+1}{p}} < \tilde{\epsilon}/2$, where ξ, p and B_ϵ come from Lemma 5.3 in [4]. We shall define by induction a sequence $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of compact connected subsets of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}$ as follows.

$$C_0 = \bar{B}(f^q(x), \tilde{\epsilon})$$

and C_1 is the connected component of $f^{-q}(C_0)$ containing x . In view of Lemma 5.3 from [4], the definition of m and since $q \geq m(\theta, \epsilon)$, we have $\text{diam}(C_1) \leq \tilde{\epsilon}/2$. Therefore

$$C_1 \subset \bar{B}(x, \tilde{\epsilon}/2) \subset \bar{B}\left(f^q(x), \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}\right) = \bar{B}(f^q(x), \tilde{\epsilon}) = C_0.$$

Suppose now that C_n , $n \geq 1$, has been defined and C_n is a connected component of $f^{-q}(C_{n-1})$ contained in C_{n-1} . Then also $f^{-q}(C_n) \subset f^{-q}(C_{n-1})$ and we conclude that there exists C_{n+1} , a connected component of $f^{-q}(C_n)$ contained in C_n . Hence $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ and $f^q(C_{n+1}) = C_n$. Since by our construction C_n is a connected component of $f^{-qn}(\bar{B}(f^q(x), \tilde{\epsilon}))$, it follows from Lemma 5.3 in [4] that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(C_n) = 0$. Therefore the intersection $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n$ is a singleton

and we denote its unique element by y . Then

$$f^q \left(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n \right) \subset \bigcap_{n \geq 1} f^q(C_n) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_{n-1} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} C_n \subset \bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n = \{y\}.$$

Thus $f^q(y) = y$. Now, in view of the definition of $\tilde{\epsilon}$, for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, q$, $f^i(C_1)$ contains both $f^i(y)$, $f^i(x)$ and has diameter bounded above by ϵ . We are done. ■

3. OPTIMAL ORBITS FOR CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

We start with the following.

Lemma 3.1. *If $x \in J(f)$ generates a recurrent optimal orbit for a continuous function $\phi : J(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $\theta > 0$ there exists $0 < \delta \leq \tilde{\epsilon}$ and $q(\epsilon) \geq 1$ such that if $|f^{k+q}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta$ and $f^k(x) \notin B(\Omega, 3\theta)$ for some integer's $k \geq 0$ and $q \geq q(\epsilon)$, then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k}^{k+q-1} \phi(f^i(x)) - \langle \phi \rangle(x) \right| \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. Fix $\eta > 0$ so small that $|z - w| \leq \eta$ implies that $|\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq \epsilon/2$. Take $\delta = \min\{\tilde{\eta}, \theta, \delta(\theta, \eta)\}/4$, $q(\epsilon) = m(\theta, \epsilon)$ and let y be the periodic point of period q produced in Lemma 2.2 with the point x replaced by $f^k(x)$ and ϵ replaced by η . Then $|f^{k+i}(x) - f^i(y)| \leq \eta$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, q$ and therefore

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k}^{k+q-1} \phi(f^i(x)) - \langle \phi \rangle(y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} |\phi(f^{i+k}(x)) - \phi(f^i(y))| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k}^{k+q-1} \phi(f^i(x)) \leq \langle \phi \rangle(y) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \langle \phi \rangle(x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

So, it remains to prove that

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k}^{k+q-1} \phi(f^i(x)) \geq \langle \phi \rangle(x) - \epsilon.$$

In order to do it define inductively the increasing sequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ as follows. Since $x \in \omega(x)$, there exists the least integer $k_1 \geq 0$ such that $|f^{k_1}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta/||f'||^q$. Given k_j , again since $x \in \omega(x)$, there exists the least integer $k_{j+1} \geq k_j + q$ such that $|f^{k_{j+1}}(x) - f^k(x)| < \delta/||f'||^q$. Remove all the pieces of the form $\{f^{k_j}(x), f^{k_j+1}(x), \dots, f^{k_{j+q}-1}(x)\}$ from the orbit $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of x . Write the remaining sequence as $\{x'_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$. Since $|f^{k+q}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta$, we get for every

$j \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{k_j+q}(x) - f(f^{k_j-1}(x))| &= |f^{k_j+q}(x) - f^{k_j}(x)| \\ &\leq |f^{k_j+q}(x) - f^{k+q}(x)| + |f^{k+q}(x) - f^k(x)| + |f^k(x) - f^{k_j}(x)| \\ &\leq \|f'\|^q \frac{\delta}{\|f'\|^q} + \delta + \frac{\delta}{\|f'\|^q} \leq 3\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{x'_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is a 3δ -pseudo-orbit. Since $|f^{k_j}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta$, $f^k(x) \notin B(\Omega, 3\theta)$ and $\delta \leq \theta$, we get $f^{k_j}(x) \notin B(\Omega, 2\theta)$. This implies that $f^{k_j-1}(x) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$. Also, since $|f^{k_j+q}(x) - f^{k+q}(x)| \leq \delta$, $|f^{k+q}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta$ and $f^k(x) \notin B(\Omega, 3\theta)$, we see that $f^{k_j+q}(x) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$. Thus the 3δ -pseudo-orbit $\{x'_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is θ -well behaving and, in view of Lemma 2.1 this pseudo-orbit is η -shadowed by a true orbit $\{f^i(z)\}_{i=0}^\infty$. Let

$$\alpha = \sup_{1 \leq j < \infty} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=k_j}^{k_j+q-1} \phi(f^i(x)).$$

Since $|f^{k_j}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq \delta/\|f'\|^q$, we get $|f^{k_j+i}(x) - f^{k+i}(x)| \leq \delta$ for all $0 \leq i \leq q-1$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\leq \sup_{1 \leq j < \infty} \frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) + \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (\phi(f^{k_j+i}(x)) - \phi(f^{k+i}(x))) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) + \frac{1}{q} \sup_{1 \leq j < \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} |\phi(f^{k_j+i}(x)) - \phi(f^{k+i}(x))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now, for every $n \geq 0$ there exists $q_n \geq 0$ such that

$$\{x'_i\}_{i=0}^n \cup \{f^i(x) : j \in \{1, 2, \dots, q_n\}, i \in \{k_j, k_j + 1, \dots, k_j + q - 1\}\}$$

forms an initial segment of the orbit $\{f^l(x)\}_{l=0}^\infty$ of the point x . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \rangle (x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{q_n} \sum_{i=k_j}^{k_j+q-1} \phi(f^j(x)) \right) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) + q_n q \alpha \right) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) - (n+1) \langle \phi \rangle (x) + q_n q (\alpha - \langle \phi \rangle (x)) \right) \geq 0.$$

Again, this equivalently means that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_n q}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\frac{1}{q_n q} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) - (n+1) \langle \phi \rangle (x) \right) + (\alpha - \langle \phi \rangle (x)) \right) \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha - \langle \phi \rangle (x) &\geq -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{q_n q}{q_n q + n + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_n q} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) - (n+1) \langle \phi \rangle (x) \right) \\
&= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left((n+1) \langle \phi \rangle (x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \phi(x'_i) \right) \\
&= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left((n+1) \langle \phi \rangle (x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \phi(f^i(z)) + \sum_{i=0}^n (\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)) \right) \\
&\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \phi(f^i(z)) \right) + \\
&\quad + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \sum_{i=0}^n (\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Now, since the orbit $\{f^i(z)\}_{i=0}^\infty$ η -shadows the pseudo-orbit $\{x'_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \sum_{i=0}^n (\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \sum_{i=0}^n |\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n |\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q_n q + n + 1} \sum_{i=0}^n (\phi(f^i(z)) - \phi(x'_i)) \geq -\frac{\epsilon}{2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{n+1}{q_n q + n + 1} \leq 1$ and since

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \phi(f^i(z)) \right) \geq 0,$$

we conclude that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{q_n q + n + 1} \left(\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \phi(f^i(z)) \right) \geq 0.$$

Combining this (3.4) and (3.3), we get $\alpha - \langle \phi \rangle (x) \geq -\epsilon/2$. Combining in turn this and (3.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) \geq \alpha - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \geq \langle \phi \rangle (x) - \epsilon.$$

We are done. ■

Given $\delta, \theta > 0$ an orbit $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^\infty$ comes within (δ, θ) of a periodic orbit $\{f^i(y)\}_{i=0}^{q-1}$ of period q if $y \notin B(\Omega, 2\theta)$ and if there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $|f^{k+i}(x) - f^i(y)| \leq \delta$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, q$. We are now in position to prove the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Fix $\theta > 0$. Suppose that $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a measure-recurrent optimal orbit for a continuous function $\phi : J(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta > 0$ and $m \geq 1$ such that if $\{f^i(y)\}_{i=0}^{q-1}$ is a periodic orbit of period $q \geq m$ and $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ comes within $(\delta/2, \theta)$ of $\{f^i(y)\}_{i=0}^{q-1}$, then*

$$\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \epsilon \leq \langle \phi \rangle (y) \leq \langle \phi \rangle (x).$$

Proof. Take $\delta > 0$ and $m = q(\epsilon/2)$ ascribed to $\epsilon/2$ and $\theta/3$ as in Lemma 3.1. Since $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ comes within (δ, θ) of $\{f^i(y)\}_{i=0}^{q-1}$, there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $|f^{k+i}(x) - f^i(y)| \leq \delta/2$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, q$. Since $\delta \leq \epsilon/2$, we therefore get

$$\left| \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) - \langle \phi \rangle (y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} |\phi(f^{k+i}(x)) - \phi(f^i(y))| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $f^k(x) - y \leq \delta$ and since $y \notin B(\Omega, 2\theta)$, we get that $f^k(x) \notin B(\Omega, \theta)$. Since also

$$|f^{k+q}(x) - f^k(x)| \leq |f^{k+q}(x) - f^q(y)| + |f^q(y) - f^k(x)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + |f^k(x) - y| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} = \delta,$$

Lemma 3.1 applies and we get $\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi(f^{k+i}(x)) \leq \epsilon/2$. Consequently $\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \epsilon \leq \langle \phi \rangle (y) \leq \langle \phi \rangle (x)$ and we are done. ■

Our main result in this paper is the following.

Theorem 3.3. *If $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a measure recurrent optimal orbit for a continuous function $\phi : J(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then for every periodic point $y \in \omega(x) \setminus \Omega(f)$, $\langle \phi \rangle (y) = \langle \phi \rangle (x)$.*

Proof. Let $q \geq 1$ be a period of y and let

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(\Omega, \{f(y), \dots, f^{q-1}(y)\}) > 0.$$

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ and $m \geq 1$ be chosen as in Proposition 3.2. Since y is periodic orbit of any period ql , $l \geq 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $q \geq m$. Since $y \in \omega(x) \setminus \Omega$, the orbit $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ comes (infinitely often) within $(\delta/2, \theta)$ of $\{f^i(y)\}_{i=0}^{q-1}$. It therefore follows from Proposition 3.2 that $\langle \phi \rangle (x) - \epsilon \leq \langle \phi \rangle (y) \leq \langle \phi \rangle (x)$. Letting $\epsilon \searrow 0$, we obtain $\langle \phi \rangle (y) = \langle \phi \rangle (x)$ which finishes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Mané, On a theorem of Fatou, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat., 24 (1933), 1-11.
- [2] M. Urbański, Rational functions with no recurrent critical points, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. 14 (1994), 391-414.
- [3] M. Urbański, Geometry and ergodic theory of conformal nonrecurrent dynamics, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys. 17 (1997), 1449-1476.
- [4] M. Urbański, Thermodynamic formalism, topological pressure and escape rates for non-recurrent conformal dynamics, Preprint 2000.

- [5] G. Yuan, B. Hunt, Optimal orbits of hyperbolic systems, *Nonlinearity* 12 (1999), 1207-1224.

MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, DENTON, TX
76203-1430, USA

urbanski@unt.edu, <http://www.math.unt.edu/~urbanski>