

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE LIMIT SET OF CONFORMAL ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS WITH OVERLAPS

EUGEN MIHAILESCU AND MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI

ABSTRACT. We give a new approach to the study of conformal iterated function systems with arbitrary overlaps. We provide lower and upper estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of the limit sets of such systems; these are expressed in terms of the topological pressure and the function d counting overlaps. In the case when the function d is constant, we get an exact formula for the Hausdorff dimension. We also prove that in certain cases this formula holds if and only if the function d is constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The geometry of limit sets of conformal iterated function systems satisfying the open set condition, that is with no overlaps, is fairly well understood in the case of finite alphabet as well as infinite one; see [6] and references therein. In particular, the classical version of Bowen's formula holds, identifying, in the case of a finite alphabet, the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set as the unique zero of the pressure function.

It is however a notoriously difficult task to find a formula, or at least to get some good estimates for the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of a conformal iterated function system with overlaps. All attempts known to us aimed to neutralize the effects of overlaps and to get the classical form of Bowen's formula. The most successful of them was the one based on the concept of transversality

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37C45, 37D35, 28A80.

Key words and phrases. Conformal iterated function systems, Hausdorff dimension, overlaps, equilibrium states.

Research of the first named author supported in part by PN II Project ID-1191 from CNCSIS and the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research. Research of the second named author supported in part by the NSF Grant DMS 0700831.

(see [3], [4]) when the results were only generic, holding for almost all members of parametrized families of iterated function systems.

Our approach in this paper is drastically different. Firstly, we deal with one fixed conformal iterated function system having arbitrary overlaps. Secondly, we fully acknowledge the existence of overlaps and recognize their influence on the value of the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set. We get two estimates, lower and upper bounds in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, both quantitatively incorporating overlaps. In the case when the function d , counting overlaps, is constant, we get an exact formula (Corollary 4.2) for the Hausdorff dimension.

Corollary 4.2 also says that this formula holds, if and only if the function d is constant. We would like to add that in the case of a smooth dynamical system $f : M \rightarrow M$, where M is a smooth Riemannian manifold, we obtained somewhat analogous estimates for the stable dimension on a hyperbolic basic set $\Lambda \subset M$; see [1] and [2].

2. IFS PRELIMINARIES

Fix an integer $q \geq 1$ and a real number $s \in (0, 1)$. Let X be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^q such that $X = \overline{\text{Int}X}$. Suppose that V is a bounded connected open subset of \mathbb{R}^q such that $X \subset V$.

Fix also an arbitrary finite set E called in the sequel an alphabet. A system $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e : V \rightarrow V\}_{e \in E}$ of $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ conformal injective maps from V to V is called a *conformal iterated function system* if $\phi_e(X) \subset X$ for all $e \in E$ and $\|\phi'_e\| = \sup\{|\phi'_e(x)| : x \in V\} \leq s$ for all $e \in E$. Here, $\phi'_e(x) : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ is the derivative of the map $\phi_e : V \rightarrow V$ evaluated at the point x , it is a similarity map, and $|\phi'_e(x)|$ is its operator norm, or equivalently, its scaling factor.

Note that we do not assume any sort of the open set condition, i.e we allow any overlaps of the sets $\phi_a(X)$ and $\phi_b(X)$, where $a, b \in E$ with $a \neq b$. Let

$$E^* = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} E^n \quad \text{and} \quad E^\infty = \{(\omega_n)_{n=1}^\infty : \forall_{n \geq 1} \omega_n \in E\}.$$

If $\tau \in E^\infty$ and $n \geq 0$, we put $\tau|_n = \tau_1 \dots \tau_n$. Now fix $\omega \in E^\infty$ and notice that $(\phi_{\omega|_n}(X))_{n=1}^\infty$ is a descending sequence of compact sets such that $\text{diam}(\phi_{\omega|_n}(X)) \leq Ds^n \text{diam}(X)$, where the number $D \geq 1$ is due to the fact that we do not assume the set X to be convex. Therefore, the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \phi_{\omega|_n}(X)$ is a singleton, and we denote its only element by $\pi(\omega)$. So, we have defined a map $\pi : E^\infty \rightarrow X$ which is Lipschitz continuous if E^∞ is endowed with the metric $d_s(\omega, \tau) = s^{|\omega \wedge \tau|}$, where $\omega \wedge \tau$ is the longest common initial block of ω and τ ; we also set $s^\infty = 0$.

The *limit set* (or the *attractor*) $J = J_{\mathcal{S}}$ of the system \mathcal{S} is, by definition, equal to $\pi(E^\infty)$. Clearly, we have

$$J_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{|\omega|=n} \phi_{\omega}(X),$$

and $J_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the unique compact set contained in X satisfying the following self-conformality condition

$$J_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{e \in E} \phi_e(J_{\mathcal{S}}),$$

and, by induction,

$$J_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{|\omega|=n} \phi_{\omega}(J_{\mathcal{S}}), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let $\sigma : E^\infty \rightarrow E^\infty$ be the (one sided) shift map, i.e. $\sigma((\omega_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = ((\omega_{n+1})_{n=1}^\infty)$. Let $\psi : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by the following formula,

$$\psi(\omega) = \log |\phi'_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma(\omega)))|, \quad \omega \in E^\infty$$

As all the maps ϕ_e , $e \in E$, are $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ and $\|\phi'_e\| \leq s < 1$ for all $e \in E$, and since the alphabet E is finite, one can easily prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *The function $\psi : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous.*

Lemma 2.2. *If $g : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Hölder continuous, then there exists a constant $C_g > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(\sigma^j(\omega)) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(\sigma^j(\tau)) \right| \leq C_g$$

for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\omega, \tau \in E^\infty$ such that $\omega|_n = \tau|_n$.

Now, let us define a function $d : J \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by the following formula,

$$d(x) = \#\{e \in E : x \in \phi_e(J)\}.$$

Immediately from this definition we get the following trivial, but very useful, formula

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{e \in E : x \in \phi_e(J)} d^{-1}(x) = 1$$

for all $x \in J$.

Let now $\kappa : E^\infty \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ to be a Hölder continuous function and, for an arbitrary parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the potentials $\psi_{\kappa,t} : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$\psi_{\kappa,t}(\omega) = t\psi(\omega) - \log \kappa(\omega) = t \log |\phi'_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma(\omega)))| - \log \kappa(\omega), \quad \omega \in E^\infty$$

One can check easily that $\psi_{\kappa,t}$ is Hölder continuous, by using Lemma 2.1 and the Hölder continuity of κ .

Let $P(t) := P(\psi_{\kappa,t})$ be the topological pressure of the potential $\psi_{\kappa,t}$ with respect to the dynamical system $\sigma : E^\infty \rightarrow E^\infty$. Since $\log |\phi'_{\omega_1}(\pi(\sigma(\omega)))| \leq \log s < 0$, there exists a unique $h_\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(\psi_{\kappa,h_\kappa}) = 0$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_t$ be the unique shift-invariant Gibbs (equilibrium) state of the Hölder continuous potential $\psi_{\kappa,t} : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$\mu_t = \tilde{\mu}_t \circ \pi^{-1}.$$

Clearly, $\mu_t(J) = 1$. For every $\omega \in E^*$, say $\omega \in E^n$, let

$$[\omega] = \{\tau \in E^\infty : \tau|_n = \omega\}.$$

This set is called the (initial) cylinder generated by ω . The Gibbs property means that

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{\mu}_t([\omega|_n]) \asymp e^{-P(t)n} \|\phi'_{\omega|_n}\|^t \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \kappa^{-1}(\pi(\sigma^j(\omega))).$$

If A is an arbitrary Borel subset of J and $\mathcal{F} \subset E^*$ is a family of mutually incomparable words such that $\pi^{-1}(A) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} [\omega]$, then

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_t(A) \leq \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mu}_t([\omega]).$$

3. LOWER BOUND

We shall prove in this section the following.

Theorem 3.1. *If $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e\}_{e \in E}$ is a conformal iterated function system and $\hat{\kappa} : J \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ is a continuous function such that $d(x) \leq \hat{\kappa}(x)$ for all $x \in J$, then $\text{HD}(J) \geq h_\kappa$, where $\kappa = \hat{\kappa} \circ \pi : E^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since every real-valued continuous function can be approximated uniformly from above by Hölder (even Lipschitz) continuous functions, and since the pressure function is Lipschitz continuous with the Lipschitz constant 1, we may assume without loss of generality that the function $\hat{\kappa} : J \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ is Hölder continuous. Since $\text{HD}(J) \geq 0$ we may also assume without loss of generality that $h_\kappa > 0$. Then, fix an arbitrary $t \in (0, h_\kappa)$. So, $P(t) > 0$. Since the

function $\hat{\kappa}^{-1} : J \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is uniformly continuous, there exists $\eta > 0$ so small that

$$\hat{\kappa}^{-1}(y) \leq e^{P(t)} \hat{\kappa}^{-1}(x)$$

for all $x, y \in J$ with $\|y - x\| < \eta$. Since the alphabet E is finite, for every $z \in J$ there exists $R(z) \in (0, \eta)$ such that if $B(z, R(z)) \cap \phi_e(J) \neq \emptyset$, then $z \in \phi_e(J)$. Consider the open cover $\{B(z, R(z)/2)\}_{z \in J}$ of the set J . Since J is compact, there exists a finite set $F \subset J$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad J \subset \bigcup_{z \in F} B(z, R(z)/2).$$

Now fix $x \in J$ and

$$0 < r < R_* := \frac{1}{4} \min\{\text{diam}(J), R(\cdot)\}.$$

By (3.1) there exists $z_x \in F$ such that $x \in B(z_x, R(z_x)/2)$.

We say in the sequel that two words from E^* are mutually incomparable if neither is an extension of the other.

Now given a set $B \subset B(x, r)$, we say that a family $\mathcal{F} \subset E^*$ consisting of mutually incomparable words is *properly placed with respect to the triple* (x, B, r) , if for all $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$ we have that:

$$(3.2) \quad B \cap \phi_\omega(J) \neq \emptyset.$$

Immediately from this definition, the definition of R and the restriction on $r > 0$, we get that

$$(3.3) \quad z_x \in \phi_{\omega_1}(J)$$

for all $\omega \in \mathcal{F}$.

Now fix an arbitrary $\tau \in E^\infty$, and a family $\mathcal{F} \subset E^*$ which is properly placed with respect to (x, B, r) for some $B \subset B(x, r)$. We then have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) &:= \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-P(t)|\omega|} \kappa^{-1}(\omega\tau) \kappa^{-1}(\sigma(\omega\tau)) \dots \kappa^{-1}(\sigma^{|\omega|-1}(\omega\tau)) \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-P(t)|\omega|} e^{P(t)} \kappa^{-1}(z_x) \kappa^{-1}(\sigma(\omega\tau)) \dots \kappa^{-1}(\sigma^{|\omega|-1}(\omega\tau)) \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}} e^{-P(t)(|\omega|-1)} d^{-1}(z_x) \kappa^{-1}(\sigma(\omega\tau)) \dots \kappa^{-1}(\sigma^{|\omega|-1}(\omega\tau)) \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}_1} d^{-1}(z_x) \cdot \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}(e)} e^{-P(t)|\omega|} \kappa^{-1}(\omega\tau) \kappa^{-1}(\sigma(\omega\tau)) \dots \kappa^{-1}(\sigma^{|\omega|-1}(\omega\tau)), \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \{\omega_1 \in E : \omega \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \{e \in E : z_x \in \phi_e(J)\}$$

and then for all $e \in \mathcal{F}_1$,

$$\mathcal{F}(e) := \{\omega \in E^* : e\omega \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Notice that for each $e \in \mathcal{F}_1$, the family $\mathcal{F}(e)$ consists of mutually incomparable words and $\phi_e^{-1}(z_x) \in J$. If $\omega \in \mathcal{F}(e)$, then we have

$$\emptyset \neq \phi_e^{-1}(\phi_{e\omega}(J) \cap B) = \phi_\omega(J) \cap \phi_e^{-1}(B)$$

and

$$\phi_e^{-1}(B) \subset B(\phi_e^{-1}(x_e), 2Kr\|\phi'_e\|^{-1}),$$

where x_e is an arbitrary point in $\phi_e(J) \cap B$, independent of ω . So, the family $\mathcal{F}(e)$ is properly placed with respect to $(\phi_e^{-1}(x_e), \phi_e^{-1}(B), 2Kr\|\phi'_e\|^{-1})$ as long as $2Kr\|\phi'_e\|^{-1} < R_*$.

Proceeding now by induction, we see that given elements $\alpha, \beta \in E^*$, with $l := |\alpha| \geq 2$, such that $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ and

$$(3.5) \quad 2Kr\|\phi'_\alpha\|^{-1} < R_*,$$

we can form similarly as above the family $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l) := \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{l-1})(\alpha_l)$ which is properly placed with respect to $(\phi_{\alpha_l}^{-1}(x_\alpha), \phi_{\alpha_l}^{-1}(B), 2Kr\|\phi'_{\alpha_l}\|^{-1})$, where $x_\alpha = \phi_{\alpha_{l-1}}^{-1}(\phi_{\alpha_{l-2}}^{-1}(\dots(\phi_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(x_{\alpha_1})_{\alpha_2}) \dots))$.

Now fix the largest integer $l \geq 1$ so that (3.5) holds for all the words $\alpha\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ starting with α , and such that $|\alpha| \leq l$. Then, continuing (3.4), we can estimate as follows,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) &\leq \sum_{e_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1} d^{-1}(z_x) \sum_{e_2 \in \mathcal{F}(e_1)_1} d^{-1}(z_{x_{e_1}}) \sum_{e_3 \in \mathcal{F}(e_1 e_2)_1} d^{-1}(z_{x_{e_1 e_2}}) \dots \\ &\cdot \sum_{e_{l+1} \in \mathcal{F}(e_1 \dots e_l)_1} d^{-1}(z_{x_{e_1 e_2 \dots e_l}}) e^{-P(t)|\omega|} \cdot \\ &\cdot \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}(e_1 e_2 \dots e_l e_{l+1})} \kappa^{-1}(\omega\tau) \kappa^{-1}(\sigma(\omega\tau)) \dots \kappa^{-1} \sigma^{|\omega|-1}(\omega\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{F}(e_1 e_2 \dots e_l e_{l+1}) = \{\omega \in E^* : e_1 e_2 \dots e_l e_{l+1} \omega \in \mathcal{F}\}$, for $l \geq 1$.

Now we define a special family, which is properly placed with respect to the triple $(x, B(x, r), r)$, with $r \in (0, R_*)$, namely:

$$\mathcal{F}_*(x, r) := \{\omega \in E^* : B(x, r) \cap \phi_\omega(J) \neq \emptyset, \phi_\omega(J) \subset B(x, 2r), \phi_{\omega|_{|\omega|-1}}(J) \not\subset B(x, 2r)\}.$$

Recall also that $\|\phi'_e\| \leq s < 1, \forall e \in E$. Hence, if $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_*(x, r)$, then

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} r &\leq \text{diam}(\phi_{\omega|_{|\omega|-1}}(J)) \leq D \text{diam}(X) \|\phi'_{\omega|_{|\omega|-1}}\| \leq D \text{diam}(X) \gamma^{-1} \|\phi'_\omega\| \\ &\leq D \text{diam}(X) \gamma^{-1} s^{|\omega|-l} \|\phi'_{\omega|_l}\|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = \min_{e \in E} \inf \{ |\phi'_e(y)| : y \in J \} \in (0, 1)$$

On the other hand, since $l \geq 1$ was taken to satisfy a maximality condition above, there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{F}_*(x, r)$ such that $r \|\phi'_{\omega|_{l+1}}\|^{-1} \geq (2K)^{-1} R_*$. Hence $\|\phi'_{\omega|_l}\| \leq 2K(\gamma R_*)^{-1} r$. Combining this with (3.7), we get that $r \leq 2KD \text{diam}(X) \gamma^{-2} R_*^{-1} s^{|\omega|-l} r$, or equivalently:

$$(1/s)^{|\omega|-l} \leq A := 2KD \text{diam}(X) (\gamma^2 R_*)^{-1}$$

Hence we obtain,

$$|\omega| - l \leq \frac{\log A}{\log(1/s)}.$$

Since $\kappa \geq 1$ and $P(t) > 0$, it follows from this (3.6) and (2.1) that

$$(3.8) \quad \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_*(x, r)) \leq \#E^{\frac{\log A}{\log(1/s)}}.$$

We have from the definition of $\mathcal{F}_*(x, r)$ also that

$$(3.9) \quad 4r \geq \text{diam}(\phi_\omega(J)) \geq D^{-1} \|\phi'_\omega\|.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_*(x, r)$ consists of mutually incomparable words and $\pi^{-1}(B(x, r)) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}_*(x, r)} [\omega]$, we get from (2.3), (2.2), (3.8) and (3.9), that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(B(x, r)) &\preceq \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}_*(x, r)} e^{-P(t)|\omega|} \|\phi'_{\omega|_n}\|^t \prod_{j=0}^{|\omega|-1} \kappa^{-1}(\pi(\sigma^j(\omega\tau))) \\ &\leq (4D)^t r^t \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{F}_*(x, r)} e^{-P(t)|\omega|} \prod_{j=0}^{|\omega|-1} \kappa^{-1}(\pi(\sigma^j(\omega\tau))) \\ &= (4D)^t r^t \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_*(x, r)) \\ &\leq (4D)^t \#E^{\frac{\log A}{\log(1/s)}} r^t. \end{aligned}$$

It therefore follows from the Converse Frostmann Lemma (see Theorem 8.2(2). in [5]) that $H_t(J) > 0$; consequently $\text{HD}(J) \geq t$. Since $t > 0$ was an arbitrary number smaller than h_κ , we thus conclude that $\text{HD}(J) \geq h_\kappa$. The proof is complete. \square

4. UPPER BOUND

As an upper bound, we shall prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e\}_{e \in E}$ is a conformal iterated function system and $\kappa \geq 1$ is an integer satisfying $d(x) \geq \kappa$ for all $x \in J$, then $\text{HD}(J) \leq h_\kappa$.*

Proof. Fix $t > h_\kappa$. Then $P(t) < 0$ and therefore

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{|\omega|=n} \|\phi'_\omega\|^t e^{-\kappa n} \leq e^{\frac{1}{2}P(t)n}$$

for all $n \geq 1$ large enough, say $n \geq n_0$. For every $\omega \in E^n$ consider the smallest closed ball B_ω containing $\phi_\omega(X)$. Then

$$(4.2) \quad \text{diam}(B_\omega) \leq 2\text{diam}(\phi_\omega(X)) \leq 2D\text{diam}(X)\|\phi'_\omega\|.$$

Since $\{B_\omega\}_{\omega \in E^n}$ is a cover of the limit set J by closed balls, in virtue of $4r$ -Covering Theorem (see Theorem 8.1 in [5]) there exists a set $I_1 \subset E^n$ with the following properties

- (a) $B_\omega \cap B_\tau = \emptyset$ for all $\omega, \tau \in I_1$ with $\omega \neq \tau$.
- (b) $\bigcup_{\omega \in I_1} 4B_\omega \supset J$.

Suppose now by induction that the sets I_1, I_2, \dots, I_l , $1 \leq l < \kappa^n$ have been defined with the following properties:

- (c) $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq l$.
- (d) $\forall (1 \leq j \leq l) \forall (\omega, \tau \in I_j) \omega \neq \tau \Rightarrow B_\omega \cap B_\tau = \emptyset$.
- (e) $\forall (1 \leq j \leq l) \bigcup_{\omega \in I_j} 4B_\omega \supset J$.

Because of (c) and (d), each point of J belongs to at most l elements of the family $\{B_\omega : \omega \in I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_l\}$. But, as $d \geq \kappa$, each element of J belongs to at least $\kappa^n > l$ elements of the family $\{\phi_\omega(J) : |\omega| = n\}$, and thus, to at least $\kappa^n > l$ elements of the family $\{\phi_\omega(X) : |\omega| = n\}$, and eventually to at least $\kappa^n > l$ elements of the family $\{B_\omega : |\omega| = n\}$. Thus, the family $\{B_\omega : \omega \in E^n \setminus (I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_l)\}$ covers J , and it therefore follows from the $4r$ -Covering Theorem (see Theorem 8.1 in [5]) that one can find a set $I_{l+1} \subset E^n \setminus (I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_l)$ such that

- (f) If $\omega, \tau \in I_{l+1}$ and $\omega \neq \tau$, then $B_\omega \cap B_\tau = \emptyset$.
- (g) $\bigcup_{\omega \in I_{l+1}} 4B_\omega \supset J$.

So, we have constructed by induction a family of sets $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{\kappa^n} \subset E^n$ such that the conditions (c), (d), and (e) hold with $l = \kappa^n$.

Choose now $1 \leq j \leq \kappa^n$ so that the sum $\sum_{\omega \in I_j} \text{diam}^t(B_\omega)$ is the smallest. Then by (4.2), (4.1) and (c), (d), (e), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\omega \in I_j} \text{diam}^t(4B_\omega) &= 4^t \sum_{\omega \in I_j} \text{diam}^t(B_\omega) \leq \frac{4^t}{\kappa^n} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa^n} \sum_{\omega \in I_i} \text{diam}^t(B_\omega) \\ &\leq 4^t \kappa^{-n} \sum_{|\omega|=n} \text{diam}^t(B_\omega) \leq (8 \text{diam}(X))^t \sum_{|\omega|=n} \|\phi'_\omega\|^t e^{-\log \kappa n} \\ &\leq (8 \text{diam}(X))^t e^{\frac{1}{2}P(t)n}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (e) and since $P(t) < 0$, we thus conclude that $H_t(J) = 0$; so $\text{HD}(J) \leq t$. By the arbitrariness of $t > h_\kappa$, this yields $\text{HD}(J) \leq h_\kappa$. We are done. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1, we get the following.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{S} = \{\phi_e\}_{e \in E}$ is a conformal iterated function system and let $D := \max\{d(x) : x \in J_{\mathcal{S}}\}$. Then $\text{HD}(J_{\mathcal{S}}) = h_D$ if and only if $d(x) = D$ for all $x \in J_{\mathcal{S}}$.*

Proof. If $d(x) = D$ for all $x \in J_{\mathcal{S}}$, then the equality $\text{HD}(J_{\mathcal{S}}) = h_D$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.

In order to prove the converse, suppose that $h := \text{HD}(J_{\mathcal{S}}) = h_D$. By way of contradiction suppose that there exists $z \in J$ such that $d(z) \leq D - 1$. Since the alphabet E is finite, there thus exists an open neighborhood V of z such that $d(x) \leq D - 1$ for all $x \in V$. Fix a non-empty open set $U \subset J$ such that $\bar{U} \subset V$. There then exists a Lipschitz function $\hat{\kappa} : J \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ such that $\hat{\kappa}(x) = D - 1$ for all $x \in \bar{U}$ and $\hat{\kappa}(x) = D$ for all $x \in J \setminus V$. In particular, $d(y) \leq \hat{\kappa}$ for all $y \in J$, and it therefore follows from Theorem 3.1 that $h_D = h \geq h_\kappa$; recall that $\kappa = \hat{\kappa} \circ \pi$. But we also have

$$(4.3) \quad \kappa \leq D \quad \text{on } E^\infty,$$

and thus $h_D \leq h_\kappa$. Hence,

$$(4.4) \quad h_\kappa = h_D.$$

Let $\tilde{\mu}_D$ be the unique equilibrium (Gibbs) state on E^∞ of the potential $h_d\psi - \log D$. Since $P(h_d\psi - \log D) = 0$, we have

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{E^\infty} (h_d\psi - \log D) d\tilde{\mu}_D + h_{\tilde{\mu}_D}(\sigma) = 0,$$

where $h_{\tilde{\mu}_D}(\sigma)$ is the Kolmogorov-Sinai metric entropy of the dynamical system $\sigma : E^\infty \rightarrow E^\infty$ with respect to the σ -invariant measure $\tilde{\mu}_D$. In virtue of the

Variational Principle, we also have,

$$\int_{E^\infty} (h_D \psi - \log \kappa) d\tilde{\mu}_D + h_{\tilde{\mu}_D}(\sigma) = \int_{E^\infty} (h_\kappa \psi - \log D) d\tilde{\mu}_D + h_{\tilde{\mu}_D}(\sigma) \leq P(h_\kappa \psi - \log \kappa) = 0.$$

this combined with (4.5), imply that

$$(4.6) \quad \int_{E^\infty} (\log D - \log \kappa) d\tilde{\mu}_D \leq 0.$$

Since the function $\log D - \log \kappa$ is continuous and since the equilibrium state $\tilde{\mu}_D$ (as a Gibbs state of a Hölder continuous function) is positive on non-empty open subsets of E^∞ , it follows from (4.6) and (4.3) that $\log \kappa = \log D$ on E^∞ . So, $\hat{\kappa} = D$ on J and this contradiction finishes the proof. □

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Mihailescu and M. Urbanski, Inverse pressure estimates and the independence of stable dimension for non-invertible maps, *Canadian J. Math.*, **60**, no. 3, 2008, 658-684.
- [2] E. Mihailescu and M. Urbański, Estimates for the stable dimension for holomorphic maps, *Houston J. Math.* 31 (2005), 367-389.
- [3] B. Solomyak, Non-linear IFS with overlaps, *Periodica Math. Hungarica* 37 (1-3), (1998), 127-141.
- [4] K. Simon and B. Solomyak, Hausdorff dimension for horseshoes in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Sys.* 19 (1999), 1343-1363.
- [5] R. D. Mauldin, T. Szarek, and M. Urbański, Graph Directed Markov Systems on Hilbert Spaces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 147 (2009), 455-488.
- [6] D. Mauldin, M. Urbański, *Graph Directed Markov Systems: Geometry and Dynamics of Limit Sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2003).

EUGEN MIHAILESCU

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY,
P. O. BOX 1-764, RO 014700,
BUCHAREST,
ROMANIA

E-mail address: Eugen.Mihailescu@imar.ro

Web: www.imar.ro/~mihailles

MARIUSZ URBAŃSKI,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS,
P.O. BOX 311430,
DENTON, TX 76203-1430,
USA

E-mail address: urbanski@unt.edu

Web: www.math.unt.edu/~urbanski